

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/459,342	12/13/1999	SHIGETOSHI SAMESHIMA	566.36161CX1	1055
20457 7	590 03/18/2002			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			COLBERT, ELLA	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· 4"

K.

Application No. 09/459,342

Applicant(s)

SAMESHIMA et al

Examiner First Last Art Unit 1234

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C		
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely. 	sation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will	
	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status		
1) \square Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 19, 2</u>	2001	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
	is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 🔀 Claim(s) 11, 14, 17-20, 22, 23, 27, and 29-38	is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	
Application Papers		
9) 💢 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>Dec 13, 1999</u> is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved by disapproved.	
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No	
application from the International Bure		
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	, priority under 33 0.3.C. 3 113(e).	
Attachment(s)	101 Later in Summer (OTO 412) Page No. (-)	
15) XI Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
6 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing neview (P10-349)	20) Other:	
	· -	

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 11, 14, 17-20, 22, 23, 27, and 29-38 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claims 11, 20, 29, 31, and 33-38 have been amended in the communication filed on 05/14/01, entered as Amendment D, paper no. 11.
- 3. Applicants' Extension of Time filed 06/12/01 has been entered as paper no. 12.
- 4. Applicants' Notice of Appeal filed 06/12/01 has been entered as paper no. 13.
- 5. Applicants' Letter Regarding Notice of Appeal filed 12/19/01 has been entered as paper no. 14.
- 6. Applicants' Interview Summary on 01/15/02 has been entered as paper no. 15.
- 7. Applicants' request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is hereby withdrawn.

Specification

8. The Specification (Description of Preferred Embodiments) is objected to because in fig.

1, the description of step 124 is missing, fig. 8C, step 667 is not described, fig. 9, step 1611 is not described, fig. 11, step 843 is not described, fig. 12A, (Lot No.) 916-919 are not mentioned in the Description of Preferred Embodiments, fig. 13, step 1012 is not described, fig. 16, steps 1304 & 1306 are not described, and fig. 18, steps 1545 & 1546 are not described in the Description of Preferred Embodiments. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/459,342

Art Unit: 2172

Drawings

9. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 8A, step 601 is labeled WAIT FOR DAT. Is fig. 8A, step 601 supposed to read WAIT FOR DATA? Fig. 8C, step 67 is missing in the drawing figure, fig. 9, step 611 is missing in the drawing figure, fig. 13, step 1026 is missing in the drawing figure, fig. 16, steps 1318 & 1321 are missing in the drawing figure, . Correction is required.

Claim Objections

10. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 22, line 5, page 5, Amendment C, paper no. 8, filed 12/13/00 recites "associated with said one of plural items information to be transmitted" would be better recited "associated with said one of plural items of information to be transmitted". Claim 27, line 4, page 5, Amendment C, paper no. 8, recites "is information indicative of a current" would be better recited "are information indicative of a current" and line 7, page 5, recites "... said one of said plural items" would be better recited "... one of plural items." Claim 33, Amendment D, filed 05/14/01, paper no. 11, page 5, line 13, recites "transmitted, said computer program when executed causes" would be better recited "transmitted, wherein said, computer program when executed causes said". Claim 38, Amendment D, filed 05/14/01, paper no. 11, page 8, line 5 recites "... said event elapses of predetermined time" would be better recited "... said event elapses at predetermined time".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2172

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 11, 14, 17-20, 22, 23, 27, and 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over (US 5,953,046) Pocock.

With respect to claims 11, 20, 29, and 31, Pocock teaches, an information transmission device for transmitting plural items of information via transmission media to a plurality of information destination devices (col. 1, lines 21-39) the information transmission device comprising: storage means for storing the plural items of information and plural sets of data for the plural items of information, each one of the plural sets of data including an event indicative of a change of situation in or related to the plural items of information, identification data for identifying information to be transmitted in response to the event, and transmission destination data indicative of one of the plurality of information destination devices to which a corresponding one of the plural items of information is to be transmitted (col. 1, lines 39-62, col. 2, lines 7-29, col. 3, lines 2-17, and col. 4, lines 40-51) and transmission means for transmitting via the transmission media one of the plural items of information identified by the identification data corresponding to the event detected by the detection means to one of the plurality of information destination devices is designated by the transmission destination data corresponding

Art Unit: 2172

to the event (col. 5, lines 57-67 and col. 6, lines 1-62). Pocock did not explicitly teach a detection means for detecting that the event has occurred but it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a detection means for detecting that the event has occurred and in view of Pocock's teaching of multiplexed channel for transmitting and a video image at the user's end that corresponds to a full motion video and to modify in Pocock because such a modification would allow Pocock to have an efficient system for providing the transmission and reception of presentations and the ability to know whether the presentations have been transmitted.

With respect to claim 20, Pocock teaches, identification data for identifying information to be transmitted in response to the event, and the destination data indicative of one of the plurality of information destination devices to which a corresponding one of the plural items of information is to be transmitted (col. 1, lines 21-62, col. 2, lines 7-39, col. 3, lines 7-17 and col. 4, lines 40-51, col. 5, lines 30-67, and col. 6, lines 1-35 and lines 46-62).

With respect to claim 14, this dependent claim is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 29

With respect to claim 17, the event is a transmission of data from at least one of the plurality of information destination devices to the information transmission device (col. 4, lines 15-39).

With respect to claim 18, the plural items of information is information indicative of a current situation of a facility which contains plural parts and performs a predetermined process

Art Unit: 2172

and wherein one of the plurality of information destination devices to which one of the plural items of information is transmitted is a controller which uses one of the plural items of information transmitted to control the predetermined process of the facility (col. 4, lines 40-67 and col. 5, lines 1-7).

With respect to claim 19, means for deciding whether the event detected relates to the information handled by at least one information processing device (col. 6, lines 8-24) and the transmission means, when the event is judged as relating to the information, transmitting the information identified by the identified data (col. 6, lines 25-34).

With respect to claim 22, the event is a transmission of information via the transmission media from at least one of the plurality of information destination devices to the information transmission device and wherein the transmitting step includes a step of transmitting information associated with one of the plural items of information to be transmitted (col. 1, lines 27-42, col. 7, lines 50-61, and col. 9, lines 4-17).

With respect to claim 23, the event is a modification of at least one of the plural items of information (col. 12, lines 15-38).

With respect to claim 27, the plural items of information are information indicative of a current situation of a facility which contains plural parts and performs a predetermined process and the transmission step includes a step of transmitting one of the plural items of information to be transmitted (col. 6, lines 13-45 and col. 9, lines 49-67). Pocock did not teach a controller

Art Unit: 2172

which controls the predetermined process of the facility, but it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a controller which controls the predetermined process of the facility and to modify in Pocock because such a modification would allow the Pocock's system transmit a video signal over a suitable transmission medium such as a CATV system.

With respect to claim 30, the event elapses at predetermined time intervals (col. 4, lines 26-39 and col. 9, lines 18-36).

With respect to claim 31, this independent claim is rejected for the similar rationale given for claims 20 and 29.

With respect to claim 32, this dependent claim is rejected or the similar rationale given for claims 29 and 31.

With respect to claim 33, Pocock did not teach a computer program, but it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a computer program and to modify in Pocock because such a modification would allow Pocock to have a set of instructions in a computer language intended to be executed on a computer to perform the steps of claim 33. A computer program is well known in the art.

This claim is also rejected for the similar rational given for claims 11, 20, 29, and 31.

With respect to claim 34, this dependent claim is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 31.

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claim 35, this independent claim is rejected for the similar rationale given for claims 11, 20, 29, 31 and 33.

With respect to claim 36, this dependent is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 31.

With respect to claim 37, this dependent is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 27.

With respect to claim 38, this dependent claim is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 30.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hiraswaa et al (US 5,655,079) teaches a data processing and transmission system.

Grube et al (5,483,658) teaches a communications system and a processing device.

Inquires

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is (703)308-7064. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu, can be reached on (703)305-4393.

Art Unit: 2172

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703)746-7238 or (703)746-7239, (for formal communications intended

for entry).

Or:

(703)746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

E. Colbert March 8, 2002

> HOSAIN T. ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER